

Table 5: Municipal Access to the Existing and Future Primary and Secondary Trail Network

	Municipality	Existing Access to Trails	Future Access with Buildout of Planned/ Proposed Primary & Secondary Network	Municipality moves from disconnected to connected
1	Laurel	Fair	Good	Yes
2	College Park	Excellent	Excellent	
3	Berwyn Heights	Excellent	Excellent	
4	Greenbelt	Fair	Excellent	Yes
5	Bowie	Good	Excellent	
6	New Carrollton	Poor	Good	Yes
7	Riverdale Park	Excellent	Excellent	
8	University Park	Poor	Good	Yes
9	Hyattsville	Excellent	Excellent	
10	Edmonston	Excellent	Excellent	
11	Mount Rainier	Good	Good	
12	Brentwood	Excellent	Excellent	
13	North Brentwood	Excellent	Excellent	
14	Bladensburg	Fair	Good	Yes
15	Colmar Manor	Good	Good	
16	Cottage City	Good	Good	
17	Landover Hills	Poor	Fair	Yes
18	Cheverly	Poor	Good	Yes
19	Glenarden	Poor	Good	Yes
20	Fairmont Heights	Poor	Fair	Yes
21	Seat Pleasant	Poor	Good	Yes
22	Capitol Heights	Poor	Good	Yes
23	District Heights	Poor	Excellent	Yes
24	Morningside	Poor	Good	Yes
25	Forest Heights	Fair	Excellent	Yes
26	Upper Marlboro	Poor	Excellent	Yes
27	Eagle Harbor	Poor	Poor	
	The state of the s	1		1

park maintenance and development program, this plan considered connectivity to, from and through these areas because the Plan is addressing trail needs for transportation, as well as a recreation and open space component of public services.

The buildout of the planned and proposed trail network will improve trail access for most all of the municipalities within the county¹⁰. It will also create new access to the countywide network for fifteen communities that have minimal or no trail access today.

¹⁰ All of the municipalities except Eagle Harbor which is in the southeast corner of the County, where share use path development is not possible using M-NCPPC funds, and the need for such trails is very low.